FIRST DEMONSTRATION OF MONOLITHIC InP-BASED InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs TRIPLE JUNCTION SOLAR CELLS

Robyn L. Woo¹, William D. Hong¹, Harry A. Atwater², Daniel C. Law¹

¹Spectrolab, Inc., A Boeing Company, Sylmar, California 91342, USA ²California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

ABSTRACT

Spectrolab has demonstrated the first lattice matched InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell grown on InP substrate. XRD characterization shows high quality solar cell materials. Preliminary 1-sun AM0 testing of the triple junction solar cell shows promising results with an open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 1.8V, a short-circuit current density of (J_{sc}) of 13.2 mA/cm², a fill factor of 64.5 %, and a 1-sun AMO efficiency of 11.0%. Spectrolab has identified four crucial improvements needed to further increase the cell efficiency: (1) insertion of a transparent passivating window and back surface field layers to InAIAs-subcell 1, (2) thickening of the InGaAsP-subcell 2 and InGaAs-subcell 3 base layers, (3) development of a highly conductive front contact layer to improve contact resistance, (4) development of an optimized anti-reflection coating for this band gap combination. The results of including some of these improvements on this InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs 3J solar cell will be presented at the conference.

INTRODUCTION

High-efficiency III-V multijunction solar cells for terrestrial applications have traditionally been grown lattice matched to either bulk Ge or GaAs substrates. However, reaching even higher cell efficiency requires solar cell designs with optimal bandgap combination, which in turn, requires materials that are outside of Ge or GaAs lattice constants. One of the existing solutions in the III-V solar cell community is the use of highly metamorphic materials in the upright or inverted configuration [1-2]. An upright metamorphic approach requires the active cells be grown on top of metamorphic buffer grade; whereas, an inverted metamorphic approach requires the thick low bandgap cell to be grown last. Both cases require meticulous material engineering against defects induced by large mismatch and long thermal history.

One alternative approach to existing solutions is to explore InP or near InP lattice space parameter, as low bandgap (~0.7-1.2-eV) component subcells at the InP or near InP lattice constant are becoming one of the key components in the ultra-high efficiency multijunction solar cell structure such as inverted metamorphic, upright metamorphic [1-4] and semiconductor-bonded multijunction solar cell [5]. However, high bandgap materials available in InP or near InP lattice constant are scarce and have not been intensively studied. The ternary compound In_xAl_{1-x}As stands out as a potential candidate for middle to high bandgap materials for those lattice space parameters. Recently, $In_{0.52}AI_{0.48}As$ single junction solar cell lattice matched to InP lattice constant has been demonstrated [6]. In this paper, we take one step further and focus on the development of lattice matched monolithic InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell on InP substrate as shown in Figure 1. Preliminary 1-sun AM0 testing of the first triple junction cell shows promising results.





Figure 1: A schematic drawing of lattice matched monolithic InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell design on InP substrate.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The growth of InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell was carried out in Spectrolab's Veeco E-400 metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy reactor. For the first 3J cell test structure, advanced features such as a transparent tensile InAIAs window and back surface field layers of subcell 1 were omitted. In addition, subcell 2 and subcell 3 thicknesses were reduced to 1µm from their optimal thicknesses.

The epitaxial materials were characterized by xray diffraction. The grown wafer was processed into 1x1 cm² devices using a shadow metal mask, which has excess metal coverage. The cells were coated with a standard space anti-reflection coating. Illuminated current-voltage characteristics of the triple junction cells was measured under the 1-sun AM0 spectrum using an advanced Spectrolab X25 solar simulator calibrated with Lear jet flight flown 3J-IMM (inverted metamorphic) standards since solar simulator set up with 1-sun AM1.5D spectrum was not readily available for a quick feedback. Note that device performance under 1-sun AM1.5D spectrum will be measured and presented at the conference.



MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION

Figure 2: X-ray diffraction rocking curve of InP-based InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell grown on InP substrate.

Shown in Figure 2 is x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement around the InP (004) reflection. The sharp peak at 31.67° corresponds to InP substrate. The peak at approximately 50 arc-sec compressive (on the left of InP peak) is due to InGaAsP-subcell 2 material. The peak at approximately 105 arc-sec tensile (on the right of InP peak) is due to InGaAs-subcell 3 and InAIAs-subcell 1 material. FWHM of the XRD θ scan for InAIAs, InGaAsP, and InGaAs subcell are approximately 70 arc-sec, 80 arc-sec, and 65 arc-sec, respectively. XRD data indicates that all three subcell components are at high material quality.

DEVICE CHARACTERIZATIONS




Figure 3: Illuminated current-voltage characteristic of InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction cell as measured on AX25 and XT10, and as estimated efficiency based on stand-alone component subcells.

Figure 3 shows the preliminary illuminated current voltage characteristics of the InP-based InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell. The cell achieved an open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 1.8V, a short-circuit current density of (J_{sc}) of 13.2 mA/cm², a fill factor of 64.5%, and a 1-sun AM0 efficiency of 11.0%. Also included in Figure 3 is a LIV measurement of the same cell under red-rich 1-sun AM0 spectrum using XT-10 solar simulator to simulate a 1.45eV top cell current limited case in which a 1-sun AM0 efficiency of 15.9% is achieved.




Figure 4: External quantum efficiency of InP-based InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction cell plotted in (-•-); external quantum efficiency of stand-alone InAIAs single junction and InGaAsP/InGaAs dual junction plotted in red; reflectance of triple junction cell plotted in black.

External quantum efficiency (EQE) data of the same triple junction cell are presented in Figure 4. All subcells show peak EQE performance ranging from 60% to 80%. Also included in Figure 4 is EQE of our current stand-alone InAIAs 1-junction cell and InGaAsP/InGaAs 2-junction cell. There are two differences, which contributed to the disparity in spectral response between the stand-alone component cells and integrated 3J cells. The first is, the stand-alone InAIAs single-junction solar cell has a transparent tensile InAIAs window and back surface field layers. The second difference is, subcells 2 and 3 have thicker base layers. If we were to include those features alone in the integrated 3J cells, we estimate a cell efficiency of 18.0% can be achieved in this design. High reflectivity is also observed from these cells.

FUTURE WORK

From the device performance of these first demonstration solar cells, Spectrolab identifies a few crucial design and/or process improvements. One is the insertion of a transparent passivating window and back surface field layers to InAIAs-subcell 1, which can reduce front and back surface recombination velocity and light absorption loss. The second improvement is to thicken the base layers of InGaAsP-subcell 2 and InGaAs-subcell 3 to fully absorb the long wavelength portion of the solar spectrum without compromising design integrity. The third is to develop a highly conductive layer that can be used as a front contact to improve contact resistance. The Fourth is to develop an anti-reflection coating optimized for this bandgap combination. The results of improvements made to the InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs 3J solar cell will be presented at the conference and in the final paper.

CONCLUSION

Spectrolab has demonstrated the first InP-based InAIAs/InGaAsP/InGaAs triple junction solar cell with an open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 1.8V, a short-circuit current density of (J_{sc}) of 13.2 mA/cm², a fill factor of 64.5%, and a 1-sun AM0 efficiency of 11.0%. Although the efficiency of the first demonstrated cells is far from theoretical AM1.5 predictions of over 26%, the results are promising and provide valuable feedback on design improvements needed to further increase cell efficiency. In addition, the first high quality 3J cell growth demonstration provides confidence and confirmation that Spectrolab can grow high quality high Al-containing materials lattice-matched to InP as a stand-alone component or in an integrated cell.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for financial support from the Department of Energy - Solar Energy Technologies Program under Grant No. DE-FG36-08GO18071.

REFERENCES

[1] R. R. King, D. C. Law, K. M. Edmondson, C. M. Fetzer, G. S. Kinsey, H. Yoon, R. A. Sherif, and N. H. Karam, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 183516 (2007).

[2] J. F. Geisz, D. J. Friedman, J. S. Ward, A. Duda, W. J. Olavarria, T. E. Moriarty, J. T. Kiehl, M. J. Romero, A. G. Norman, and K. M. Jones, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 123505 (2008).

[3] A. B. Cornfeld, D. Aiken, B. Cho, A. Vance Ley, P. Sharps, M. Stan, and T. Varghese, 35th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), Honolulu, HI, 105 (2010).

[4] B. E. Sagol, N. Szabo, H. Boscher, U. Seidel, C. Hohn, K. Schwarzburg, and T. Hannappel, 34th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), Philadelphia, PA, 1090 (2009).

[5] D. C. Law, D. M. Bhusari, S. Mesropian, J. C. Boisvert, W. D. Hong, A. Boca, D. C. Larrabee, C. M. Fetzer, R. R. King, and N. H. Karam, 34th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), Philadelphia, PA, 2237 (2009). [6] M. S. Leite, R. L. Woo, W. D. Hong, D. C. Law, and H. A. Atwater, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* In press.